# (c) Entering Ligand Effects

The second order rate constant  $k_2$  in square planar complexes in the rate law below that we just discussed is strongly dependent on the nature of Y, the entering ligand

$$ML_3X + Y \xrightarrow{k_2} ML_3Y + X$$

<u>empirically derived series for  $Pt^{II}$  from  $k_2$  values on left being (slow) to right (fast):</u>

$$F^{-} \sim H_{2}O \sim OH^{-} < CI^{-} < Br^{-} \sim NH_{3} \sim$$

$$Alkenes < C_{6}H_{5}NH_{2} < C_{5}H_{5}N < NO_{2}^{-}$$

$$< N_{3}^{-} < I^{-} \sim SCN^{-} \sim R_{3}P$$

- **Q.** What does this series reflect?
- **A.** Essentially the order of Pt<sup>II</sup> affinity for these ligands (their Lewis basicity or nucleophilicity)

# (d) Sterochemistry



- **Q.** What do these drawings show about the typical Associative mechanism for Square Planar Compounds?
- <u>A.</u>
- Goes through Square pyramidal and Trigonal Bipyramidal intermediates that serve to exchange positions of X and Y
- (2) cis and trans reactants react to form cis and trans products respectively

#### **Non-Labile Ligands The "Trans-Effect"**

The ligand trans to X, the leaving group, can have a profound influence on the rate of X substitution.

Ex.  $PtLX_3 + Y \rightarrow PtLX_2Y + X$ 

Any of the three X ligands can be replaced by Y.

Depending on L, the relative amounts of cis and trans products vary.



Strong "trans directing" L ligands will make the reaction favor the trans substitution product but if  $X_2$  is more strongly "trans directing", then one would obtain the cis isomer in the previous example.

Experimental work with  $PtLX_3 + Y$  reactions has led to an ordering of ligands with respect to their tendency to be "strong trans directors"

 $\begin{array}{l} H_2O \sim OH^- \sim NH_3 \sim py < Cl^-, Br^- < \\ SCN^-, l^- \sim NO_2^-, Ph^- < \\ CH_3^- < H^- \sim PR_3 << C_2H_4 \sim CN^- \sim CO \end{array}$ 

# <u>This series is also known as the \*trans effect series –</u> <u>The ability to direct trans-substitution) and it spans</u> <u>a factor of about 10<sup>6</sup></u>

**Trans effect** is solely a kinetic phenomenon which means that the ligand promotes faster substitution rates for ligands trans to itself than ligands cis to itself. If you notice, among the strongest are  $CN^{-}$  and CO. these ligands can overlap strongly with the pi-oribitals in the transition state (intermediate) which is trigonal bipyramidal Very useful for synthetic chemists to devise routes to new compounds!

Take for example the cis and trans isomers of  $Pt(NH_3)_2Cl_2$ How can we favor one product over the other?



# The product is cis-dichlorodiammineplatinum(II)

- **Q.** What does this tell us?
- <u>**A.</u>** that  $Cl^{-}$  has a greater trans directing effect that  $NH_3$ </u>
- **Q.** How then to synthesize the trans derivative?
- <u>**A.**</u> Use all  $NH_3$  ligands in starting material



# the product is trans-dichlorodiammineplatinum(II)

There is also another issue to consider which is called the <u>"Trans-Influence</u>" It follows the same trend as the Trans-Effect but it is a **ground state** phenomenon which means that it can be evaluated by studying the bonds observed in the X-ray crystal structure.

The ligands that are trans to strong ligands have longer (therefore weaker) bonds so they are substituted more easily.

As a "ground state" effect one ligand is weakening the bond trans to it by a competition for metal-ligand overlap. A strong ligand, L, polarizes the opposite ligand, X, by induced dipoles. Known as the:

**Grinberg Polarization Theory** 



Arrangement of dipoles along the L-M-X axis

The orientation of the Metal dipole is such that it is repelling the negative charge (or electrons) on the X ligand. This weakens the M-X bond.

# **Electron-Transfer Reactions**

Besides substitution reactions, redox or e<sup>-</sup> transfer reactions are very important in transition metal chemistry

Simplest way to depict:

$$\operatorname{Fe}^{2+}(\operatorname{aq}) + \operatorname{Ce}^{4+}(\operatorname{aq}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Fe}^{3+}(\operatorname{aq}) + \operatorname{Ce}^{3+}(\operatorname{aq})$$

Note, chemists don't often write the actual formula for the water complex, but it is  $Fe(H_2O)_6^{2+}...$ 

#### **Two types:**

- (a) Outer sphere
- (b) Inner sphere

#### **Outer – Sphere electron transfer**

The e<sup>-</sup> transfer is faster than ligand substitution.

 $[Fe^{II}(CN)_6]^{4-} + [Ir^{IV}Cl_6]^{2-} \longrightarrow [Fe^{III}(CN)_6]^{3-} + [Ir^{III}Cl_6]^{3-}$ No ligands have changed place but the e<sup>-</sup> has transferred.

#### **Two-Step process in Outer-Sphere**

(a) Outer-sphere ion-pair formation

 $[Fe^{II}(CN)_6]^{4-} + [Ir^{IV}Cl_6]^{2-} \longrightarrow [Fe^{II}(CN)_6]^{4-}/[Ir^{IV}Cl_6]^{2-}$ Ion-pair equilibrium

(b) Electron-Transfer

$$[\operatorname{Fe^{II}(CN)_6}]^{4-}/[\operatorname{Ir^{IV}Cl_6}]^{2-} \xrightarrow{} [\operatorname{Fe^{III}(CN)_6}]^{3-} + [\operatorname{Ir^{III}Cl_6}]^{3-} k_{et}$$

Step (a) lowers the energy for e<sup>-</sup> transfer by bringing reactants together (reduces internuclear separation)

The M-L distances are altered to allow the e<sup>-</sup> transfer to take place adiabatically (without further change in E)

At Caltech, Rudy Marcus (Nobel Prize), recognized that e<sup>-</sup> transfer should be adiabatic because electron motion is faster than nuclear motion

### **Translation (what does the above mean?)**

The electron transfers quickly, once the M-L (internuclear) distances have become appropriately modified. This means that in the complex that is being oxidized, the M-L distances will become shorter because of higher OX. state of metal; conversely the M-L distances in the reduced complex (lower OX. state) will become longer.

Rate constants for outer-sphere electron transfer  $k_{et}$  vary from 10<sup>-4</sup> to 10<sup>9</sup> (diffusion limit)

**Q.** Why such big differences?

A. Depends on the different amounts of energy required to change the M-L distances in the transition state (called reorganizational energy)

### <u>Inner-Sphere or Ligand – Bridged Electron</u> <u>Transfer Mechanism</u>

Taube and co-workers are famous for this work. (Nobel Prize in Chem., 1984)

 $[Co^{III}(NH_3)_5X]^{2+} + Cr^{2+}_{(aq)} + 5H^+ \implies [Cr^{III}(H_2O)_5X]^{2+} + Co^{2+}_{(aq)} + 5NH_4^+$ This reaction goes through a ligand – bridged intermediate

Example If  $X = CI^{-}$  $[Cr^{II}(H_2O)_6]^{2+} + [Co^{III}(NH_3)_5C1]^{2+} \rightarrow$ 

 $[(H_2O)_5Cr^{II}ClCo^{III}(NH_3)_5]^{4+} \text{ (intermediate forms)} \\ \uparrow \downarrow e^{-} \text{transfer takes place} \\ [(H_2O)_5Cr^{III}ClCo^{II}(NH_3)_5]^{4+} \\ \downarrow \text{(intermediate falls apart)}$ 

$$[Cr^{III}(H_{2}O)_{5}Cl]^{2+} + [Co^{II}(NH_{3})_{5}(H_{2}O)]^{2+} \downarrow this reacts fast in H^{+}, H_{2}O [Co(H_{2}O)_{6}]^{2+} + 5NH_{4}^{+}$$

- **Q**. What affects the rates of inner-sphere electron-transfer?
- A. Nature of X bridge and its ability to "conduct" the electron from one metal to another. Better overlap with metals means higher rates of e<sup>-</sup>transfer

# Final Topic in Chapter 6

# **Stereochemical Nonrigidity**

Molecules are constantly fluctuating, i.e., their bonds stretch and bend but, not only that, some molecules change shape in solution such that they actually are <u>fluxional</u>

### **Five – Coordinate Complexes**





There are two types of <sup>19</sup>F nuclei in the molecule, so wouldn't you expect to see two sets of <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrum?

Yes, but not if the molecular structure was changing rapidly in solution (i.e. if it was "fluxional")

R.S. Berry suggested a mechanism for rapid axial and equatorial exchange in TBP's.



### Two main steps as portrayed in the Cotton book



Figure 6-14 A simple mechanism that interchanges axial and equatorial ligands of a tbp by passage through an sp intermediate.

- 1. Concerted motion of two axial ( $B_1$  and  $B_2$  here) ligands such that they are in a plane with  $B_4$  and  $B_5 \rightarrow$  square pyramidal intermediate.
- conversion back to a tbp molecule this can occur with original two atoms going back (i.e. B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>) or the other two B<sub>4</sub> and B<sub>5</sub>

The original and final molecules are indistinguishable if all atoms are the same, as in the case of  $PF_5$ 

Because of this low-energy pathway, most fivecoordinate complexes are fluxional.

**Q**. What about six-coordinate complexes?

<u>A.</u> Octahedral complexes are not usually fluxional. When they isomerize, it is because of ligand dissociation and not intramolecular rearrangement. One exception is racemization of (tris) chelate complexes. Non-superimposable  $[M(en)_3]^{2+} \Delta$  and  $\Lambda$ can interconvert by <u>a series of twists and a bond</u> <u>cleavage</u>.

